## **REMARKS**

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's acknowledgement that claims 1-4, 6-7 are allowable.

Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as allegedly containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants respectfully disagree. However, in an effort solely to expedite the prosecution of this application, Applicants have amended dependent claim 5 to recite that the peptide amphiphiles of the claim-designated composites comprise the sequence, RGD. Support for this amendment is found throughout the specification, for example, at page 19, and page 21, Table 1. Accordingly, no new matter is added by this amendment. Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

## Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments and remarks herein render most the outstanding rejections set forth in the Office Action mailed November 28, 2006, and place the instant application in condition for immediate allowance. However, in the event the Examiner believes a conference would expedite prosecution, he is courteously invited to contact the undersigned.

The previous Office Action set forth a three-month period for response, response being due on or before **February 28, 2007**. Accordingly, Applicants submit that this response is timely and no additional fee is required.

Serial No.: 10/777,030 Attorney Docket No.: NANO110.00US3

By

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 28 FEBRNARY 2001

Benet Group c/o Intellevate P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis MN 55402 Telephone: 202-253-7199 Facsimile: 612-677-3572

**CUSTOMER NUMBER 62249** 

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 42,967